SINGLE BENCH (2)

CR-1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)

W.P. (S) NO. 247 /2007

PETITIONER : Gurdeep Singh Netam
S/o. Sukrawar Singh,
aged about 38 Years ,
Assistant Teacher, Sanyukta Aashram, Sirri, Block- Pondi-Uproda, District Korba (C.G.)

F.R. No. 13/1/07 Presented by Shri Rukesh Sahu dated 4/1/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary,
Schedule Tribe Welfare
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 4/01/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Commissioner
Schedule Tribe Welfare
Department Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Collector
Schedule Caste & Schedule
Tribe Welfare Department,
Korba, Tahsil and District
Korba (C.G.)

9/1/07

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 219 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Monika Tirkey

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P. (S) No. 236 of 2007

Petitioners : Dubraj Singh Rathiya and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P. (S) No. 246 of 2007

Petitioners : Sunder Lal Dhritlahare and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

W. P. (S) No. 247 of 2007

Petitioner : Gurdeep Singh Netam

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 18th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that

(42)

of in W. P. 1463 of 2006 (Aeman Jain Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & another), which was disposed of vide order dated 10th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 10th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. 1463 of 2006. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu